Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,335	SHIMOYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	DUC Q. DINH	2629
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>DUC Q. DINH</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>DANIEL GLUECK</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>05/11/07</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	,	
7		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Correcting the typographical error for claim 7.	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
•		
	`	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)